Prevalence and Characteristics of *Salmonella* in Retail Poultry and Pork Meat in the Czech Republic in 2013–2014

PETRA MYŠKOVÁ and RENÁTA KARPÍŠKOVÁ*

Department of Bacteriology, Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic *Corresponding author: karpiskova@vri.cz

Abstract

Myšková P., Karpíšková R. (2017): Prevalence and characteristics of *Salmonella* in retail poultry and pork meat in the Czech Republic in 2013–2014. Czech J. Food Sci., 35: 106–112.

The EN ISO 6579/2002 guideline was used for the detection of *Salmonella* in retail poultry and pork meat in the Czech Republic in 2013 and 2014. The laboratory confirmed isolates were further typed (slide agglutination, phage typing, resistance to antimicrobial agents, PCR for the detection of selected genes encoding plasmid mediated quinolone resistance). Out of 176 poultry and 223 pork meat samples, 24 (13.6%) and 6 (2.7%) were positive for the detection of *Salmonella* spp., respectively. In *Salmonella* isolates from poultry, 14 serotypes were differentiated with *S. indiana*, *S. enteritidis* and *S.* 6,7:-:1,5 being the most common serotypes. *S. typhimurium* and its monophasic variant *S.* 4,[5],12:i:-were predominant in pork meat. The overall resistance to one antimicrobial agent at least was high in both groups of isolates – 50% (poultry) and 71.4% (pork). No *Salmonella* isolate was confirmed to carry any of the selected PMQR genes. The study showed a higher prevalence of *Salmonella* in poultry, but pork meat also poses a risk to consumers.

Keywords: food; PCR; serotyping; phage typing; antimicrobial resistance

Salmonella is still among the most frequently reported zoonotic agents causing food-borne infections worldwide. Infections caused by non-typhoid Salmonella are mostly self-limiting. Nevertheless, 5% of patients will develop bacteraemia which requires antimicrobial treatment (Parry & Threlfall 2008). Although poultry meat and eggs have been described as the most common sources of Salmonella (Zhao et al. 2001; EFSA 2013), pork meat is also responsible for a substantial part of infections. Moreover, some rather large-scale outbreaks connected with pork meat and products have been reported recently in Europe (Bone et al. 2010; Gossner et al. 2012). Different serotypes have been proved to be connected with poultry and pork production (EFSA 2013).

The use of typing methods enables the acquisition of surveillance data, detection of outbreaks

and identification of possible links to vehicles and sources of infection. Concern about various foodstuffs contaminated with *Salmonella* has received considerable attention because of the increased incidence of antimicrobial resistant strains. Whilst some serotypes such as the predominant serotype Enteritidis show moderate resistance or none at all, multidrug resistant strains are typical of some other serotypes (ÁLVAREZ-FERNÁNDEZ *et al.* 2012). Resistance to cephalosporins and quinolones has been most worrisome (GLENN *et al.* 2013; WONG *et al.* 2013; MOHAMED *et al.* 2014).

To date, no reports have been published about the comparison of *Salmonella* isolates from poultry and pork meat in the Czech Republic (CZ). The objectives of this study were to determine the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in poultry and pork meat from the

Supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Project No. LO 1218 under the NPU I program and Project No. RO0516.

retail market in the Czech Republic and to compare their characteristics including the level of antimicrobial resistance. Due to the fact that quinolones are the most commonly used antimicrobial agents in poultry flocks (Wasyl *et al.* 2014) we also performed the polymerase chain reaction targeting the most common plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes (PMQR).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Meat samples. Altogether, 176 randomly collected samples of fresh and frozen poultry meat (128 samples of chicken meat, 24 samples of hen meat, and 24 samples of turkey meat) and 223 samples of fresh pork meat were randomly purchased in retail outlets around the country in 2013 and 2014. The poultry meat samples originated from 6 countries – Czech Republic (159), Slovakia (7), Germany (4), Poland (3), France (2), and Brazil (1). Poultry meat originated from 15 Czech and 7 foreign producers (abattoirs). The pork meat samples were obtained in 5 countries – Czech Republic (182), Poland (29), Austria (9), Spain (2), and Denmark (1).

Sample processing and Salmonella confirmation. Samples of poultry and pork meat were collected from retail outlets and transported cooled into the laboratory where they were processed immediately. Poultry samples were processed according to EN ISO 6579/2002 (Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs - Horizontal method for detection of Salmonella spp.) - neck skin samples of 25 g were homogenised in 225 ml buffered peptone water and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. One millilitre of each pre-enriched sample was transferred into a selective enrichment medium Mueller-Kauffmann Tetrathionate-Novobiocin Broth and 0.1 ml to Rappaport-Vassiliadis Broth (Oxoid, UK) and incubated at 37 and 42°C, respectively, for 24 hours. Finally, XLD (Oxoid, UK) and Rambach media (Merck, Germany) were inoculated and incubated. Pork and turkey meat was swabbed with 3M sponges (3M, USA) and further processed also according to EN ISO 6579/2002. All suspect colonies with typical growth characteristics were confirmed by the genus Salmonella specific PCR (Olsen et al. 1995).

Serotyping of Salmonella isolates. Salmonella isolates were serotyped by the slide agglutination method with commercial antisera (Denka Seiken, Japan; BioRad, France) and the final antigenic

structure was obtained according to the Kauffmann-White-Le Minor scheme (GRIMONT & WEILL 2007).

Phage typing of Salmonella serotypes Enteritidis, Typhimurium and monophasic 4,[5],12:i:-. Phage typing was performed according to previously published protocols (Anderson et al. 1977; Ward et al. 1987) using HPA Colindale sets of phages.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by a disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton medium using CLSI guidelines (CLSI 2012). The spectrum of agents used was: AMP - ampicillin (10 μg), AMC - ampicillin/ clavulanic acid (30 µg), CTX - cefotaxime (30 µg), CHL - chloramphenicol (30 μg), MEM - meropenem (10 μg), STR – streptomycin (10 μg), KAN – kanamycin (30 μg), GEN – gentamicin (10 μg), N – neomycin (30 μg), S3 – sulphonamides (300 μg), SXT – sulfamethoxazole/ trimethoprim (25 μg), TMP – trimethoprim (5 μg), TET - tetracycline (30 μg), NAL - nalidixic acid (30 μg), CIP – ciprofloxacin (5 μg), ENR – enrofloxacin $(5 \mu g)$, CT – colistin $(10 \mu g)$, and ATM – aztreonam (30 μg). Escherichia coli CCM 3954 was used as the control strain.

PCR for the detection of PMQR. Polymerase Chain Reaction for the detection of *qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrS* genes (Cattoir *et al.* 2007), *qepA* gene (Yamane *et al.* 2008), and aac(6')-*Ib-cr* (Park *et al.* 2006) was also performed in 7 poultry isolates showing phenotypic resistance to nalidixic acid.

RESULTS

Altogether, 24 and 6 Salmonella positive samples out of 176 (13.6%) and 223 (2.7%) were detected in poultry and pork meat, respectively. Two different Salmonella strains were isolated from one pork meat sample, thus 31 Salmonella isolates were obtained in total. Four positive isolates were from countries other than the Czech Republic (Tables 1 and 2). The 24 Salmonella isolates from poultry meat originated from 9 producers (Table 1). In poultry meat 14 serotypes were determined with a maximum of 4 isolates of one serotype (Table 1). In pork meat 4 serotypes were detected with Typhimurium being the most frequent one (Table 2). Altogether, 9 isolates (3 Enteritidis, 4 Typhimurium, and 2 4,[5],12:i:) were subtyped by phage typing and resulted in 8 different phage types (Tables 1 and 2). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing showed that 37.5% (9) and 57.1% (4) of Salmonella isolates from poultry and

Table 1. Characteristics of Salmonella spp. isolates from poultry meat at retail

Source	Country of origin	Producer	Serotype	Phage type	Antimicrobial resistance pattern
	CZ	A	6,7:-:1,5	_	STR-S3-TET-NAL
	CZ	A	indiana	_	susceptible
Chicken meat (cooled)	CZ	A	indiana	_	susceptible
	CZ	A	indiana	-	susceptible
Chicken meat (frozen)	CZ	В	agona	_	susceptible
Chicken meat (cooled)	CZ	В	infantis	-	TET-NAL
Homemont (an alad)	CZ	С	enteritidis	Phage type 8 8 8	susceptible
Hen meat (cooled)	CZ	С	enteritidis		susceptible
Hen meat (frozen)	CZ	D	6,7:-:1,5	_	STR-S3-TET-NAL
Chicken meat (frozen)	CZ	D	6,7:-:1,5	_	STR-S3-TET-NAL
Hen meat (frozen)	CZ	D	9,12:l,v:-	-	susceptible
Chicken meat (frozen)	CZ	D	agona	_	susceptible
Unicken meat (frozen)	CZ	D	braenderup	_	STR-S3-TET-NAL
Hen meat (frozen)	CZ	D	derby	-	susceptible
Chicken meat (frozen)	CZ	D	infantis	_	STR-S3-TET-NAL
	CZ	D	ohio	_	susceptible
	CZ	D	ohio	_	susceptible
Hen meat (frozen)	CZ	D	tennessee	_	susceptible
	CZ	D	typhimurium	U302	susceptible
	CZ	E	kentucky	-	susceptible
Turkey meat (cooled)	CZ	F	newport	_	AMP-AMC-TET
Chicken meat (frozen)	France	G	indiana	-	susceptible
Turkey meat (frozen)	Poland	Н	virchow	_	AMP-AMC-STR-NAL
Chicken meat (frozen)	Slovakia	I	enteritidis	3	NAL

pork meat, respectively, were resistant to one agent, at least. In the group of poultry isolates the most widespread resistances were to nalidixic acid (77.8% of the resistant isolates). In pork meat resistance to sulphonamides and tetracycline (100%) was most

often detected. Antimicrobial resistance patterns are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. No *Salmonella* strain carrying plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes *qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrS*, *qepA*, or *aac*(6')-*Ib-cr* was detected.

Table 2. Characteristics of Salmonella spp. isolates from pork meat at retail

Country of origin	Serotype	Phage type	Antimicrobial resistance pattern
CZ	9,12:l,v:-	-	susceptible
CZ	typhimurium	DT206	susceptible
CZ	4,[5],12:i:-	DT193	AMP-STR-S3-TET
Poland	4,[5],12:i:-	U	AMP-STR-S3-TET
CZ	agona	-	susceptible
CZ	typhimurium	DT208	STR-S3-TET-TMP
CZ	typhimurium	DT120	AMP-STR-KAN-N-S3-TET

DISCUSSION

The extent of retail meat contamination is an important parameter to monitor considering the impact on public health. Salmonella is a zoonotic agent usually transmitted via products of animal origin with poultry and pork meat being the most common sources (EFSA 2013). In our study, we detected 13.1% of poultry meat positive for Salmonella. The European Food Safety Authority reported 10% prevalence in broiler meat in the Czech retail market in 2011 (EFSA 2013). In our study the highest number of Salmonella isolates was obtained from hen meat (10 isolates out of 24 samples - 41.7%). Hen meat is not covered by the EFSA report, which might be the reason why the prevalence number reported to EFSA from the Czech Republic is lower than in our survey. Processing of hens at slaughterhouses usually differs from processing of broilers especially in the cooling procedure. Whereas hens are cooled in chilled water, broilers are cooled by chilled air, which is supposed to be the more hygienic method which protects the carcasses from further contamination at slaughterhouse. Broiler meat was positive in 9.4% of samples in our study, which is very close to the prevalence of 10% officially reported by EFSA in the retail market (EFSA 2013). Some non-European countries (Vietnam, Pakistan) reported the prevalence of Salmonella in retail chicken meat to be about 40% (Soomro et al. 2010; TA et al. 2014). The significantly lower number of positive samples in Europe might be caused by the implementation of the national control programmes in poultry flocks coordinated by the European Union and obligatory for all member states. However, a Greek study showed the prevalence as high as 39.5% (ZDRAGAS et al. 2012). Nonetheless, the reported prevalence rates in retail poultry meat are much higher than in poultry flocks (EFSA 2013). Turkey meat in our study showed a prevalence of 8.3% (2/24), which is in accordance with results from other European countries, where the highest prevalence at a retail level in 2010 was reported from Austria (14.6%) (EFSA 2012). Altogether, the positive samples originated from 9/22 producers (abattoirs), which means poultry samples from 13 producers were tested negative for Salmonella spp., 6 of them repeatedly. Positivity for Salmonella spp. detection at a particular producer ranged from 0 to 35.5% (producer D).

The occurrence of *Salmonella* in pork meat described in this study (2.7%) is in accordance with

data from other European countries. The prevalence of *Salmonella* in retail pork meat was reported to be from 0 to 5.2% in Europe in 2011. Nonetheless, no data at a retail level were officially reported from the Czech Republic (EFSA 2013). In Germany, the prevalence of *Salmonella* in pork meat at retail was 0.4% (Schwaiger *et al.* 2012).

In the long term, Enteritidis, Infantis, Virchow, and Hadar have been the most emergent serotypes in poultry flocks (Antunes et al. 2003; EFSA 2012, 2013). In our study, 14 different serotypes were described in 24 isolates from poultry meat. Only three and two isolates of the serotypes Enteritidis and Infantis were revealed, respectively. No isolate of the serotype Hadar was detected. Unexpectedly, some serotypes usually connected with pigs such as Agona, Derby, and Typhimurium (EFSA 2013; KEROUANTON et al. 2013) were found in poultry meat at retail, therefore the results indicate a possible contamination at various levels of processing. However, in an Australian study, S. Typhimurium was the second most common serotype detected in chicken neck skin at a retail level (FEARNLEY et al. 2011). S. Indiana found in four chicken meat samples is considered rare both in Czech Republic and in Europe. However, we registered an increased number of human cases caused by this serotype showing the same macrorestriction profile as the strains from chicken meat (Myšková et al. 2013). Also, a serotype defective in expressing the first flagellar phase 6,7:-:1,5 was detected in three samples of poultry meat in this study. The same serotype has recently been noted in human, pig, and poultry isolates in the Czech Republic (unpublished data). According to the Commission Regulation No. 1086/2011 (Anonymous 2011), fresh poultry meat placed on the market during their shelf-life must not contain specifically the serotypes Enteritidis and Typhimurium including its monophasic variant 4,[5],12:i:-. Other serotypes are not specified, although they are capable of causing human illness equally. In our study, only 16.7% of positive isolates from fresh poultry meat were of the serotypes mentioned in the regulation.

In pork meat, 4 different serotypes were found. Although the majority of the serotypes were typical of pigs – Typhimurium, 4,[5],12:i:-, and Agona (Prendergast *et al.* 2009), one sample was positive for two different strains with one of them being of a monophasic serotype 9,12:l,v.- first described in Israel (Sechter & Cahan 1984), which was also detected in poultry meat and is very rare in this

country and in the European Union. However, this rare serotype caused a local outbreak in this country in 2011 (Myšková *et al.* 2012). Our results suggest that both poultry and pork meat carried the same *Salmonella* serotype and therefore it might have been the source of both sporadic and outbreak related cases in the human population.

The variety of phage types detected in this survey also demonstrates that the contamination of retail meat occurs from different sources and at diverse levels. Phage types PT8 (Enteritidis), DT104 (Typhimurium), and DT193 (4,[5],12:i:-) are the predominant phage types in human isolates in the Czech Republic (Myskova *et al.* 2014). Interestingly, no isolate of the phage type DT104, which started to dominate in human infections caused by the serotype Typhimurium in the 1990's, was obtained in this study. However, the phage types described in this study are not considered rare and were detected also in other studies dealing with *Salmonella* isolates from retail meat (White *et al.* 2001; Prendergast *et al.* 2009).

We detected 37.5% of the isolates from poultry and 57.1% from pork meat being resistant to one or more antimicrobials. Overall resistance to one antimicrobial agent at least in foodstuffs of animal origin at a retail level is reported to be about 50-80% in some countries (Thu Hao Van et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2010) and have been rising (ÁLVAREZ-FERNÁNDEZ et al. 2012). The higher level of Salmonella resistance in pork meat isolates might be in connection with the fact that S. Typhimurium and the monophasic variant as serotypes connected with pig breeding are described to tend to be highly resistant (THRELFALL 2000; HOPKINS et al. 2010). Seven isolates resistant to quinolones (nalidixic acid) were detected in poultry meat isolates compared to zero in pork meat. This result might reflect the use of quinolone antibiotics (mainly enrofloxacin) in poultry flocks. A high prevalence of resistance to quinolones and fluoroquinolones in poultry has been reported from various countries (RAHMANI et al. 2013; LUKASZ et al. 2014). The resistance of salmonellae to quinolone is usually caused by mutations in gyrase and topoisomerase genes, however plasmid mediated resistance to this group of antibiotics has been of great concern recently mainly because quinolones and fluoroquinolones together with cephalosporins are used in the treatment of severe salmonella infections (STRAHILEVITZ et al. 2006). Moreover, an increased number of hospitalisations and invasive infections that are caused by emerging resistance of foodborne pathogens has been confirmed (VERRAES et al. 2013). However, no isolate carrying any tested PMQR gene was confirmed in this study. Resistance patterns detected in this study in pork meat isolates are in accordance with a previous study conducted in the Czech Republic aimed at pigs (SISAK et al. 2006). The overall high resistance to sulphonamides and tetracycline was revealed both in poultry and pork meat and is in accordance with other studies (CARRAMIÑANA et al. 2004). Tetracycline resistance is associated with several types of efflux pumps; therefore this resistance is widespread (HORIYAMA et al. 2011). Resistance to sulphonamides is caused either by mutations in chromosomal DNA or by an acquisition of sul1, sul2, or sul3 genes mediated by transposons and plasmids which enable an extensive dissemination (KOZAK et al. 2009).

CONCLUSION

Despite the implementation of remedial programmes in poultry flocks in European countries, the prevalence of salmonellae in poultry meat at a retail level is still high. The variety of serotypes and phage types indicates contamination at different levels of processing. The serotypes found in fresh poultry meat at retail, which pose risk to consumers, do not correspond with those mentioned in the EU regulation. On the other hand, the prevalence of salmonellae in pork meat at a retail level is moderate and the serotypes also imply the pig origin only. Pork meat is likely to be a source of resistant strains; however, poultry meat seems to be a source of quinolone resistant strains, which may impede the treatment of severe salmonella infections in humans.

Acknowledgements. The author wish to thank Melissa Ugland, MPH, and Mr. Paul Veater for proofreading the translated manuscript.

References

Álvarez-Fernández E., Alonso-Calleja C., García-Fernández C., Capita R. (2012): Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from poultry in Spain: Comparison between 1993 and 2006. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 153: 281–287.

Anderson E.S., Ward L.R., de Saxe M.J., de Sa J.D. (1977): Bacteriophage-typing designations of *Salmonella typhimurium*. The Journal of Hygiene, 78: 297–300.

- Anonymous (2002): Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs Horizontal method for detection of *Salmonella* spp. European Committee for Standardization.
- Anonymous (2011): Commission Regulation No 1086/2011 of 27 October 2011 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European parliament and of the Council and Annex I to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 as regards salmonella in fresh poultry meat. Official Journal of the European Union.
- Antunes P., Réu C., Sousa J.C., Peixe L., Pestana N. (2003): Incidence of *Salmonella* from poultry products and their susceptibility to antimicrobial agents. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 82: 97–103.
- Bone A., Noel H., Le Hello S., Pihier N., Danan C., Raguenaud M.E., Salah S., Bellali H., Vaillant V., Weill F.X., Jourdan-da Silva N. (2010): Nationwide outbreak of *Salmonella enterica* serotype 4,12:i:- infections in France, linked to dried pork sausage, March–May 2010. Eurosurveillance, 15: 19592.
- Carramiñana J.J., Rota C., Agustín I., Herrera A. (2004): High prevalence of multiple resistance to antibiotics in *Salmonella* serovars isolated from a poultry slaughterhouse in Spain. Veterinary Microbiology, 104: 133–139.
- Cattoir V., Poirel L., Rotimi V., Soussy C.J., Nordmann P. (2007): Multiplex PCR for detection of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance *qnr* genes in ESBL-producing enterobacterial isolates. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 60: 394–397.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) (2012): Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, Document M100-S22, USA.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2012): The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2010. EFSA Journal, 10: 2597.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2013): The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2011. EFSA Journal, 11: 3129.
- Fearnley E., Raupach J., Lagala F., Cameron S. (2011): Salmonella in chicken meat, eggs and humans; Adelaide, South Australia, 2008. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 146: 219–227.
- Glenn L.M., Lindsey R.L., Folster J.P., Pecic G., Boerlin P., Gilmour M.W., Harbottle H., Zhao S., McDermott P.F., Fedorka-Cray P.J., Frye J.G. (2013): Antimicrobial resistance genes in multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolated from animals, retail meats, and humans in the United States and Canada. Microbial Drug Resistance, 19: 175–184.

- Gossner C.M., van Cauteren D., Le Hello S., Weill F.X., Terrien E., Tessier S., Janin C., Brisabois A., Dusch V., Vaillant V., Jourdan da Silva N. (2012): Nationwide outbreak of *Salmonella enterica* serotype 4,[5],12:i:- infection associated with consumption of dried pork sausage, France, November to December 2011. Eurosurveillance, 17: 20071.
- Grimont P., Weill F.X. (2007): Antigenic Formulae of the *Salmonella* Serovars. 9th Ed. Paris, WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on *Salmonella*: 166.
- Hopkins K.L., Kirchner M., Guerra B., Granier S.A., Lucarelli C., Porrero M.C., Jakubczak A., Threlfall E.J., Melvius D.J. (2010): Multiresistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar 4,[5],12:i:-in Europe: a new pandemic strain? Eurosurveillance, 15: 19580.
- Horiyama T., Nikaido E., Yamaguchi A., Nishino K. (2011): Roles of *Salmonella* multidrug efflux pumps in tigecycline resistance. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 66: 105–110.
- Kerouanton A., Rose V., Weill F.X., Granier S.A., Denis M. (2013): Genetic diversity and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Derby isolated from pigs, pork and humans in France. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 10: 977–984.
- Kozak G.K., Pearl D.L., Parkman J., Reid-Smith R.J., Deckert A., Boerlin P. (2009): Distribution of sulfonamide resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from swine and chickens at abattoirs in Ontario and Québec, Canada. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 75: 5999–6001.
- Lukasz M., Mackiw E., Sciezynska M., Pawlowska K., Popowska M. (2014): Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* strains isolated from retail meat products in Poland between 2008 and 2012. Food Control, 36: 199–204.
- Mohamed T., Zhao S., White D.G., Parveen S. (2014): Molecular characterization of antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Kentucky isolated from pre- and post-chill whole broilers carcasses. Food Microbiology, 38: 6–15.
- Myšková P., Karpíšková R., Dědičová D. (2012): Výskyt monofazické varianty *Salmonella* 9,12:l,v:- v České republice. Veterinářství, 62: 632–634.
- Myšková P., Karpíšková R., Dědičová D. (2013): Epidemické případy salmonelóz v České republice v roce 2012. Epidemiologie, Mikrobiologie, Imunologie, 62: 59–63.
- Myskova P., Oslanecova L., Drahovska H., Karpiskova R. (2014): Clonal distribution of monophasic *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serotype 4,[5],12:i:- in the Czech Republic. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 11: 664–666.
- Olsen J.E., Aabo S., Hill W., Notermans S., Wernars K., Granum P.E., Popovic T., Rasmussen H.N., Olsvik O. (1995):

- Probes and polymerase chain reaction for detection of food-borne bacterial pathogens. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 28: 1–78.
- Park C.H., Robicsek A., Jacoby G.A., Sahm D., Hooper D.C. (2006): Prevalence in the United States of *aac(6')-Ib-cr* encoding a ciprofloxacin-modifying enzyme. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 50: 3953–3955.
- Parry C.M., Threlafall E.J. (2008): Antimicrobial resistance in typhoidal and non-typhoidal salmonellae. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases, 21: 531–538.
- Prendergast D.M., Duggan S.J., Gonzales-Barron U., Fanning S., Butler F., Cormican M., Duffy G. (2009): Prevalence, numbers and characteristics of *Salmonella* spp. on Irish retail pork. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 131: 233–239.
- Rahmani M., Peighambari S.M., Svendsen C.A., Cavaco L.M., Agersø Y., Hendriksen R.S. (2013): Molecular clonality and antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella enterica* serovars Enteritidis and Infantis from broilers in three Northern regions of Iran. BMC Veterinary Research, 9: 66.
- Schwaiger K., Huther S., Hölzel C., Kämpf P., Bauer J. (2012): Prevalence of antibiotic-resistant *Enterobacte-riaceae* isolated from chicken and pork meat purchased at the slaughterhouse and at retail in Bavaria, Germany. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 154: 206–211.
- Sechter I., Cahan D. (1984): Monophasic *Salmonella* 9,12:l,v:- identified as *Salmonella goettingen*. Israel Journal of Medical Sciences, 20: 472.
- Sisak F., Havlickova H., Hradecka H., Rychlik I., Kolackova I., Karpiskova R. (2006): Antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* spp. isolates from pigs in the Czech Republic. Veterinární Medicína, 51: 303–310.
- Soomro A.H., Khaskheli M., Bhutto M.B., Shah G., Memon A., Dewani P. (2010): Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from poultry meat in Hyderabad, Pakistan. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 34: 455–460.
- Strahilevitz J., Jacoby G.A., Hooper D.C., Robicsek A. (2006): Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: a multifaced threat. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 22: 664–689.
- Ta Y.T., Nguyen T.T., To P.B., Pham D.X., Le H.T.H., Thi G.N., Alali W.Q., Walls I., Doyle M.P. (2014): Quantification, serovars, and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* isolated from retail raw chicken meat in Vietnam. Journal of Food Protection, 1: 57–66.
- Thu Hao Van T., Moutafis G., Istivan T., Thuoc Tran L., Coloe P.J. (2007): Detection of *Salmonella* spp. in retail raw food samples from Vietnam and characterization of their antibiotic resistance. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 73: 6885–6892.

- Threlfall E.J. (2000): Epidemic *Salmonella typhimurium* DT 104 a truly international multiresistant clone. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 46: 7–10.
- Verraes C., Van Boxstael S., Van Meervenne E., Van Coillie E., Butaye P., Catry B., de Schaetzen M.-A., Van Huffel A., Imberechts H., Dierick K., Daube G., Saegerman C., De Block J., Dewulf J., Herman L. (2013): Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: a review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 10: 2643–2669.
- Ward L.R., de Sa J.D.H., Rowe B. (1987): A phage-typing scheme for *Salmonella enteritidis*. Epidemiology and Infection, 1987: 291–294.
- Wasyl D., Hoszowski A., Zajac M. (2014): Prevalence and characterisation of quinolone resistance mechanisms in *Salmonella* spp. Veterinary Microbiology, 171: 307–314.
- White D.G., Zhao S., Sudler R., Ayers S., Friedman S., Chen S., McDermott P.F., McDermott S., Wagner D.D., Meng J. (2001): The isolation of antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella* from retail ground meats. New England Journal of Medicine, 345: 1147–1154.
- Wong M.H.Y., Zeng L., Liu J.H., Chen S. (2013): Characterization of *Salmonella* food isolates with concurrent resistance to ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 10: 42–46.
- Yamane K., Wachino J., Suzuki S., Arakawa Y. (2008): Plasmid-mediated *qep*A gene among *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates from Japan. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 52: 1564–1566.
- Yang B., Qu D., Zhang X., Shen J., Cui S., Shi Y., Xi M., Sheng M., Zhi S., Meng J. (2010): Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella* serovars in retail meats of marketplace in Shaanxi, China. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 141: 63–72.
- Zdragas A., Mazaraki K., Vafeas G., Giantzi V., Papadopoulos T., Ekateriniadou L. (2012): Prevalence, seasonal occurrence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* in poultry retail products in Greece. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 55: 308–313.
- Zhao C., Ge B., De Villena J., Sudler R., Yeh E., Zhao S., White D.G., Wagner D., Meng J. (2001): Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp., *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella* serovars in retail chicken, turkey, pork, and beef from the Greater Washington, D.C., Area. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 67: 5431–5436.

 $\label{eq:Received:2016-07-08}$ Accepted after corrections: 2017-03-14